

To Frigorifics Ferrer S.A.U.

Brussels, 25.06.2025

## REGARDING FRIGORIFICS FERRER AND THE OCCUPATION OF WESTERN SAHARA

Western Sahara Resource Watch (WSRW) is privileged to present you with our compliments. We are writing to inquire about some aspects in relation to sourcing and selling fisheries products from occupied Western Sahara.

We are currently in the process of writing a report on the different certification schemes involved in the problematic trade from the territory for the websites <a href="https://www.vest-sahara.no">www.wsrw.org</a>.

The background of our request is three-fold:

- \* Your company's import of fish products from occupied Western Sahara.
- \* Your company's fraudulent country-of-origin marketing relating to such product.
- \* Your company's misleading use of MSC's and ASC's name to give impression that products from Western Sahara are somewhat certified.

Allow us to first contextualise our query. The United Nations consider Western Sahara to be a non-self-governing territory without an administering power in place. The International Court of Justice has confirmed that Morocco has no sovereignty over the territory, and that the people of Western Sahara have a right to self-determination – the right to determine the future status of the territory. In 1988, the UN was able to broker a ceasefire arrangement between Morocco and the Western Sahara liberation movement, Polisario, in which both parties agreed to hold a referendum on self-determination. To that goal, a UN mission (MINURSO) has been deployed to the territory, but it has not been able to organise a referendum as Morocco continues to block any effort that offers a choice beyond integration. In November 2020, the ceasefire collapsed after the Moroccan army seized a section of the UN buffer zone to break up a Saharawi protest.<sup>3</sup>

While well over a hundred of UN Resolutions, and rulings by the International Court of Justice, the European Court of Justice<sup>4</sup> and the African Court on Human and People's Rights<sup>5</sup>, all underline that Morocco has no sovereignty or administering mandate over Western Sahara, Morocco continues to militarily control about three-quarters of the territory. Incentivized by its exploitation of the territory's resources, Morocco has little interest to genuinely take part in the UN-mediated peace process. Meanwhile, the lingering conflict continues to have a high human and humanitarian cost: over 170,000 Saharawis are stuck in refugee camps in neighbouring Algeria, surviving in harsh desert conditions and dwindling humanitarian aid. Saharawis who live under the yoke of Morocco's occupation are victims of serious human rights violations that have been reported by the UN Human Rights Committee, UN Working Group on Arbitrary Detention, the UN Special Rapporteur on Human Rights Defenders, in addition to credible international organisations such as Human Rights Watch, Amnesty

Western Sahara Resource Watch

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> International Court of Justice, Western Sahara, <a href="https://www.icj-cij.org/case/61">https://www.icj-cij.org/case/61</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The "settlement proposals" are included in the Report of the UN Secretary General on Western Sahara of June 1990, available here: <a href="https://minurso.unmissions.org/sites/default/files/unsg-report\_18">https://minurso.unmissions.org/sites/default/files/unsg-report\_18</a> june 1990.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> WSRW, 19.11.2020, Saharawi gov calls for halt of all activity in Western Sahara over war, <a href="https://wsrw.org/en/news/saharawi-gov-calls-for-halt-of-all-activity-in-western-sahara-over-war">https://wsrw.org/en/news/saharawi-gov-calls-for-halt-of-all-activity-in-western-sahara-over-war</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The Rulings for cases T-512/12, T-180/14, C-266/16, T-275/18 and combined cases T-344/19, T-356/19 and T-279/19 can be accessed at the site of the EU Court of Justice, http://curia.europa.eu.

 $<sup>^5</sup>$  African Court on Human and People's Rights, 22.09.2022, Ruling on Application N° 028/2018  $\frac{https://www.africancourt.org/cpmt/storage/app/uploads/public/632/e0f/3ad/632e0f3ad580e748464681.pdf$ 

International, and others. In 2023, the UN High Commissioner for Human Rights lamented the fact that his Office has not been allowed to visit Western Sahara for the last eight years.<sup>6</sup>

The status of the territory comes with repercussions for business activities. As established in 2002 by the UN Legal Counsel at the request of the Security Council, any economic activity in the territory would be in violation of international law if not undertaken in accordance with the wishes and the interests of the people of the territory. We also refer to the conclusions of the UN Treaty Body on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights and of the UN Human Rights Committee<sup>9</sup>, which have both emphasized the need of obtaining the Saharawi people's "consent to the realization of developmental projects and [resource] extraction operations".

Recent years have witnessed an emerging body of law pertaining to Morocco's claim to the territory. Since 2015, in ten (!) consecutive rulings, the Court of Justice of the European Union has concluded on the following points as settled:

- The territory of Western Sahara constitutes a territory distinct from that of the Kingdom of Morocco. 10
- Morocco has no sovereignty<sup>11</sup> or administering mandate<sup>12</sup> over Western Sahara.
- Consequently, the waters adjacent to Western Sahara cannot be regarded as part of the Moroccan fishing zone, territorial waters, exclusive economic zone, or any other notion used to describe Morocco's role vis-à-vis the waters off Western Sahara.<sup>13</sup>
- The people of Western Sahara are to be regarded as a third party to the EU's agreements with Morocco, and that as such, no agreement can affect their territory without their consent, as a corollary of the right to self-determination.<sup>14</sup>
- The Court is clear that the right to consent resides with the people of Western Sahara, and not with the population of the territory. The Court stipulates that "a majority of the population of Western Sahara is not part of the people holding the right to self-determination, namely the people of Western Sahara. That people, which for the most part has been displaced, is the sole holder of the right to self-determination with regard to the territory of Western Sahara." The Court adds that "there is a difference in that regard between the concept of the 'population' of a non-self-governing territory and of the 'people' of that territory. The latter refers to a political unit which holds the right to self-determination, whereas the concept of 'population' refers to the inhabitants of a territory." The latter refers to the inhabitants of a territory.
- The Court has firmly established the position of Front Polisario, the UN-recognised representation of the people of Western Sahara to be able to bring cases before EU Courts on behalf of the Saharawi people, and that it has access to the Court to defend their right to self-determination.<sup>18</sup>
- In Case C-399/22, which specifically dealt with the labelling of products from Western Sahara, the Court again emphasised the separate and distinct status of the territory in relation to Morocco, and concluded that at the stages of import and sale to the consumer, the labelling of the goods from Western Sahara must indicate Western Sahara alone as the country of origin of those goods.<sup>19</sup>

\_

 $<sup>^6</sup>$  OHCHR, 07.03.2023, Global update: High Commissioner outlines concerns in over 40 countries,  $\underline{\text{https://www.ohchr.org/en/statements-and-speeches/2023/03/global-update-high-commissioner-outlines-concerns-over-40-countries}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> UN Security Council, 12.02.2002, Letter dated 29 January 2002 from the Under-Secretary-General for Legal Affairs, the Legal Counsel, addressed to the President of the Security Council, S/2002/161, <a href="https://undocs.org/S/2002/161">https://undocs.org/S/2002/161</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> UN Economic and Social Council, 22.10.2015, Concluding Observations on the fourth periodic report of Morocco, E/C.12/MAR/CO/4\*, §6, <a href="https://tbinternet.ohchr.org/">https://tbinternet.ohchr.org/</a> layouts/15/treatybodyexternal/Download.aspx?symbolno=E%2fC.12%2fMAR%2fCO%2f4&Lang=en

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> UN Human Rights Committee, 01.12.2016, Concluding Observations on the sixth periodic report of Morocco, §10, https://tbinternet.ohchr.org/ layouts/15/treatybodyexternal/Download.aspx?symbolno=CCPR/C/MAR/CO/6&Lang=Ei

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Judgment of 21 December 2016, EU:C:2016:973, §92, and reiterated in the Judgment of 27 February 2018, EU:C:2018:118, §62, Judgment of 4 October 2024, EU:C:2024:833, §163, Judgment of 4 October 2024, EU:C:2024:839, §85 and Judgment of 4 October, EU:C:2024:835, §134.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Judgment of 10 December 2015, EU:T:2015:953, §241. Considered a settled matter in subsequent rulings.

<sup>12 &</sup>quot;Account must also be taken of the fact that the Kingdom of Morocco does not have any mandate granted by the UN or by another international body for the administration of that territory, and it is common ground that it does not transmit to the UN information relating to that territory, such as those provided for by Article 73(e) of the UN Charter." Judgment of 10 December 2015, EU:T:2015:953, §233. Considered a settled matter in subsequent rulings.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 13}$  Judgment of 27 February 2018, EU:C:2018:118, §67-85. Considered settled in subsequent rulings.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Judgment of 21 December 2016, EU:C:2016:973, §104. Reiterated and refined in subsequent rulings.

 $<sup>^{15}\,\</sup>text{Judgment of 4 October 2024, EU:C:2024:833, }\$180\text{-}181.\,\text{Judgment of 4 October 2024, EU:C:2024:835, }\$152\text{-}153.}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Judgment of 4 October 2024, EU:C:2024:833, §157. Judgment of 4 October 2024, EU:C:2024:835, §128.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Judgment of 4 October 2024, EU:C:2024:833, §158. Judgment of 4 October 2024, EU:C:2024:835, §129.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Judgment of 4 October 2024, EU:C:2024:833, §96-138, Judgment of 4 October 2024, EU:C:2024:835, §70-109.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Judgment of 4 October 2024, EU:C:2024:839, §89.

We have the following questions which we would appreciate your comments to.

- 1. Does Ferrer agree with the ICJ, CJEU, UN and the African Court on Peoples' and Human Rights that Western Sahara is not part of Morocco?
- 2. Does Ferrer agree with the CJEU that the waters offshore Western Sahara do not belong to Morocco's EEZ?<sup>20</sup>
- 3. Does Ferrer agree with the ICJ<sup>21</sup> that the right to self-determination of a people of a non-self-governing territory constitutes a fundamental human right?
- 4. We refer to Ferrer's marketing documents of 2025<sup>22</sup> and 2024<sup>23</sup>, in which your company is selling octopus from "Dakhla, Morocco". What is the reason Ferrer fails to comply with the CJEU ruling of 4 October 2024 that requires products from Western Sahara to not be labelled as from Morocco, but as from Western Sahara?
- 5. Your website (screenshot to the right) suggests that "Sustainability is important to us, that is why we are certified as a chain of custody by the international MSC and ASC seals that protect, watch over and identify things well done throughout the chain at a sustainable level of both wild product and farmed fish."



- a. Why does Ferrer not specify
  that the certifications only apply to a limited segment of the product portfolio, instead of giving the impression that the sustainability and certifications cover all Ferrer's product lines?
- b. MSC's Label Guidelines establish that one needs to "Make sure that the MSC label and Marine Stewardship Council's name are never displayed in a way that: (...) suggests or implies that non-certified seafood is MSC certified; creates an association with products that are not from a fishery certified to the MSC standard"<sup>24</sup>. Is it of Ferrer's opinion that it the current reference to MSC/ASC makes it clear to the consumer that the MSC/ASC claims on your website only refers to the limited number of MSC/ASC products, and not to the fish products that are caught in occupied Western Sahara and fraudulently marketed?
- 6. When Ferrer suggests that "sustainability is important to us", how does it define sustainability? Does it consider matters of human rights (including the right to self-determination refered to above), international law or correct marketing as matters relevant for its sustainability definition?
- 7. If yes to question 6, will Ferrer halt all imports from occupied Western Sahara that are undertaken on permits from the Moroccan government, without the explicit permission from the Saharawi people?
- 8. If no to question 7, will Ferrer ensure that marketing and labelling of the products are in line with the CJEU ruling of 4 October 2024?

Western Sahara Resource Watch

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Judgment of the Court (Grand Chamber) of 27 February 2018, ECLI:EU:C:2018:118, §67-69, <a href="https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A62016CJ0266">https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A62016CJ0266</a>

<sup>21</sup> https://www.icj-cij.org/sites/default/files/case-related/169/169-20190225-ADV-01-00-EN.pdf

<sup>22</sup> https://fferrer.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/02/2025\_CATALOGO\_HOSTELERIA\_WEB.pdf

<sup>23</sup> https://fferrer.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/10/Ferrer\_Cataleg\_nadal\_24-25\_BQ.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> https://www.msc.org/for-business/use-the-blue-msc-label/guidelines?utm\_source=chatgpt.com

We'd be grateful for your response.

Looking forward to hearing from you,

Sincerely,

Erik Hagen

Western Sahara Resource Watch

erik@wsrw.org www.wsrw.org