Re. Veolia Environnement SA’s policy on Western Sahara

Dear Mr Frérot,

Western Sahara Resource Watch (WSRW) is privileged to present you with our compliments. We are writing to inquire about Veolia Environnement SA’s policy on engaging in business activities in occupied Western Sahara.

Veolia was contracted by Morocco’s National Agency for Electricity and Drinking Water (ONEE) for the construction of a reverse osmosis desalination plant in El Aaiún, the capital of Western Sahara, which Veolia erroneously locates in Morocco in its 2019 Briefing on Reverse Osmosis Desalination.¹ The company’s name occasionally appears in Moroccan media as taking part in tenders issued by the Moroccan government for projects in occupied Western Sahara.

As you will know, war has resumed in the territory. On 13 November 2020, the Moroccan army entered an area in the southernmost part of Western Sahara - Guerguerat - where any military presence is strictly forbidden by the terms of the UN-brokered 1991 ceasefire agreement. Moroccan troops were sent to disperse a peaceful Saharawi protest that was taking place in this so-called Buffer Strip, a zone extending 5 km east and south of Morocco’s 2,700-km long militarily fortified defence wall with adjacent minefields (the Berm). Since late October, Saharawis had been protesting Morocco’s export of natural resources from occupied Western Sahara through Guerguerat and had blockaded the crossing. By merely entering the Buffer Strip, Morocco breached the ceasefire. That same day, 13 November, the Polisario declared the breach marked the end of the ceasefire and the resumption of war with Morocco, adding that they consider the entire territory of Western Sahara “including its terrestrial, maritime and air spaces, a war zone”. The UN has corroborated that armed clashes are indeed occurring on different locations along the Berm.² Moroccan media has confirmed that the Moroccan army is involved in those clashes, at the instruction of Rabat.³ The Saharawi people living under Moroccan occupation, west of the Berm, report that Moroccan forces are arresting and raiding homes of Saharawi civilians known for their pro-self-determination stance.

It is very problematic - ethically, politically as well as legally - when commercial interests cooperate with Moroccan authorities to do business in the occupied territory.

² The Office of the Spokesperson for the Secretary General confirmed on 16 November 2020 “that the Mission, MINURSO [United Nations Mission for the Referendum in Western Sahara], has received reports by both parties of incidents of shooting, at night-time in the Territory at various locations along the berm.” https://www.un.org/press/en/2020/db201116.doc.htm
³ See, e.g., https://www.moroccoworldnews.com/2020/11/326083/moroccos-armed-forces-respond-to-polisario-attacks-long-defense-line/?fbclid=IwAR23Fh5SCcmQr0K1MLd1_xdBnWci1WM6Ms5Y2O0s-Ei-10I3RBxLjQPgOjaU
As you will know, the United Nations consider Western Sahara to be a Non-Self-Governing Territory without an administering power in place. As stipulated by the International Court of Justice and repeated in four consecutive rulings by the European Court of Justice, Morocco has no sovereignty over or international mandate to administer the territory. All emphasized that the people of Western Sahara have the right to self-determination, the right to decide the future status of the land and its resources. As such, the Court stated, they must consent for contractual relations with Moroccan counterparts affecting their homeland to be lawful.

Incentivized by its exploitation of the territory’s resources, Morocco has little interest to genuinely take part in the UN-mediated peace process. Meanwhile, the lingering conflict continues to have a high human and humanitarian cost: over 170,000 Saharawis are stuck in refugee camps in neighbouring Algeria, surviving in harsh desert conditions and dwindling humanitarian aid. Saharawis who live under the yoke of Morocco’s occupation are victims of serious human rights violations that have been reported by the UN Human Rights Committee, in addition to credible international organisations such as Human Rights Watch, Amnesty International, and others.

Partnering with Morocco for economic activities in the occupied territory, offers its military presence in Western Sahara an air of normalcy. To do so, effectively convolutes the UN’s efforts to decolonise Western Sahara, and as such contributes to the continued insecurity and instability of the wider Maghreb region – a process that has now seemingly begun.

We would be grateful if you could clarify whether Veolia today has a policy in place that will prevent the company from becoming involved in projects in occupied Western Sahara, unless with the explicit consent of the Polisario Front as representative of the people of Western Sahara?

We would be grateful for your response, preferably before 1 January 2021, as we are planning to publish an update on the positions of companies that have been involved in Western Sahara and wish to reflect your views on the matter.

We are convinced that it is not in the best interest of Veolia to be associated with the continued occupation and new resumed armed conflict in Western Sahara, and thus encourage you to refrain from engaging in any business opportunity in the territory, as long as the conflict has not been settled in line with international law. Please do not hesitate to contact us should you require any further information to fully assess the above-outlined concerns: we’ll gladly oblige.

Looking forward to hearing from you,

Sincerely,

Sara Eyckmans
Coordinator
Western Sahara Resource Watch
coordinator@wsrw.org

---

6 The Rulings for cases T-512/12, T-180/14, C-266/16 and T-275/18 can be accessed at the site of the EU Court of Justice, [http://curia.europa.eu](http://curia.europa.eu).